

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,692	09/29/2000		Ashraf A. Michail	MS1-676US	1488
22801	7590	12/08/2003	EXAMINER		
LEE & HA		C VENUE SUITE 500	CAMPBELL, JOSHUA D		
SPOKANE,	-			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,		2178	

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/675,692	MICHAIL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Joshua D Campbell	2178					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by since the period for reply will, by since the period for reply will.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo tatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Q	09/29/2000.						
	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo							
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>	☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers	-:						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 September 2000</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  1) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  2) Other:							
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: Application filed on 09/29/2000.
- 2. Claims 1-27 are pending in this case. Claims 1, 10, 17, and 22 are independent claims.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Neibauer (The ABCs of WordPerfect 8, published in 1997).

- 4. Regarding independent claim 1,
  - a highlight rendering services interface that provides one or more methods to
     enable an editor extension to highlight a section of an electronic document;
    - Neibauer discloses a method in which an interface to operate a function (extension) used to highlight sections of text (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer).
- 5. Regarding dependent claim 2,
  - to enable the editor extension to define a style of the section highlighting;

Art Unit: 2178

 Neibauer discloses a method in which the interface contains options to change the color and shading of the highlighting (style) (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer).

## 6. Regarding dependent claim 3,

- to enable the editor extension to create a highlight segment between two display pointers in the document;
  - Neibauer discloses a method in which text may be highlighted by selecting a point at the beginning of the section to be highlighted and then deselecting at the end of the section to be highlighted, at which point it is highlighted (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer). This act temporarily highlights the text in a block providing a user with a view of the start and end points (two display pointers) (Page 29-30, "Selecting Text" of Neibauer).

#### 7. Regarding dependent claim 4,

- to enable the editor extension to create a highlight segment between two display pointers in the document and to highlight the segment according to a specified rendering style;
  - o Neibauer discloses a method in which text may be highlighted by selecting a point at the beginning of the section to be highlighted and then deselecting at the end of the section to be highlighted, at which point it is highlighted (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer). This act temporarily highlights the text in a block providing a user with a view of the

Art Unit: 2178

start and end points (two display pointers) (Page 29-30, "Selecting Text" of Neibauer). Neibauer also discloses a method in which the interface contains options to change the color and shading of the highlighting (style) (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer).

#### 8. Regarding dependent claim 5,

- to enable the editor extension to redefine a highlight segment;
  - Neibauer discloses a method in which the user may redefine the text contained within a highlight segment (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer).

#### 9. Regarding dependent claim 6,

- to enable the editor extension to redefine a style of a highlight segment;
  - Neibauer discloses a method in which the user may redefine the color and shading (style) within a highlight segment (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer).

## 10. Regarding dependent claim 7,

- to enable the editor extension to remove a highlighted segment from the document;
  - o Neibauer discloses a method in which the user may remove highlighting from a segment (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer).

### 11. Regarding dependent claim 8,

 an interface to enable the editor extension to control a highlighted section of a document;

Art Unit: 2178

 Neibauer discloses a method in which an interface contains options to change (control) the color and shading of the highlighting (style) (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer).

#### 12. Regarding dependent claim 9,

- an interface to enable the editor extension to control one highlighted section of a
   document from a group of highlighted sections of the document;
  - Neibauer discloses a method in which an interface contains options to change (control) the color and shading of the highlighting (style), allowing the user to change one segment, instead of all of them (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2178

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 10-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neibauer (The ABCs of WordPerfect 8, published in 1997) in view of Prinzing (US Patent Number 6,480,206, filed on February 24, 1998).

- 15. Regarding independent claim 10,
  - receiving parameters from a designer through a highlight rendering services interface;
  - utilizing the parameters to highlight a segment of an electronic document; and
  - returning values to the designer regarding the state of the highlighted segment;
    - Neibauer discloses a method in which an interface to operate a function used to highlight sections of text (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer). Neibauer does not disclose a method in which a designer is used to receive the parameter or return the values to the designer regarding the state of the highlighted segment. Neibauer does not disclose a method in which an extension of an extensible editor is used to perform this action. However, Prinzing discloses a development kit can be used to modularly apply style changes (highlights) using view objects (extensions) received from an interface to a document in a text editor (column 3, lines 17-53 of Prinzing). The interface can determine and edit styles of any text, so it is inherent that values based on the state of all text,

**Art Unit: 2178** 

including highlighted segments are communicated between the development kit and the text editor (column 3, lines 6-16 of Prinzing). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the methods of Neibauer and Prinzing because it would have allowed the addition of new functionality without rewriting the entire editor.

16. **Regarding dependent claims 11-16,** the claims are the medium correlated with storing the method of claims 2-7. Thus, the claims are rejected along the same rationale as claims 2-7

#### 17. Regarding independent claim 17,

- receiving a request from an extension to utilize the highlight rendering services;
- facilitating the request by presenting a highlight rendering services interface that
   is accessible by the extension; and
- communicating with the extension through the highlight rendering services
  interface to enable the extension to highlight a section of a document through the
  editor;
  - Neibauer discloses a method in which an interface to operate a function used to highlight sections of text when requested (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer). Neibauer does not disclose a method in which an extension of an extensible editor is used to perform this action. However, Prinzing discloses a development kit can be used to modularly apply style changes (highlights) using view objects (extensions) received

Art Unit: 2178

from an interface to a document in a text editor (column 3, lines 17-53 of Prinzing). The interface can determine and edit styles of any text, so it is inherent that values based on the state of all text, including highlighted segments are communicated between the development kit and the text editor (column 3, lines 6-16 of Prinzing). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the methods of Neibauer and Prinzing because it would have allowed the addition of new functionality without rewriting the entire editor.

#### 18. Regarding dependent claim 18,

- presenting a highlight segment interface to enable the extension to control a
   highlighted section of a document displayed by the extensible editor;
- communicating with the extension through the highlight segment interface;
  - o Neibauer discloses a method in which an interface contains options to change (control) the color and shading of the highlighting (style) (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer). Neibauer does not disclose a method in which an extension of an extensible editor is used to perform this action. However, Prinzing discloses a development kit can be used to modularly (extension) apply style changes (highlights) received from an interface to a document in a text editor (column 3, lines 17-53 of Prinzing). The interface can determine and edit (control) styles of any text, so it is inherent that values based on the state of all text, including highlighted segments are communicated between the development kit and the text

Art Unit: 2178

editor (column 3, lines 6-16 of Prinzing). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the methods of Neibauer and Prinzing because it would have allowed the addition of new functionality without rewriting the entire editor.

19. **Regarding dependent claim 19-21,** the claims are the medium correlated with storing the method of claims 2-7. Thus, the claims are rejected along the same rationale as claims 2-7

## 20. Regarding independent claim 22,

- a designer interface to transfer data between the editor and one or more designers attached to the editor;
- an event routing controller to route events received by the editor to the one or more designers;
- a highlight rendering services component that is configured to enable a designer to modify rendered character attributes of document text without modifying the document content;
  - Neibauer discloses a method in which an interface to operate a function (extension) used to highlight sections of text (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer). Neibauer does not disclose a method in which a designer interface is used to transfer data between designers and the editor or an event routing controller. However, Prinzing discloses a development kit can be used to modularly apply style changes (highlights) using view objects (designers) received from an interface to text elements

Art Unit: 2178

(content) in a document in a text editor, allowing text elements to remain unchanged (column 3, lines 17-53 of Prinzing). Prinzing discloses a method in which a development kit routes the editing actions (events), which includes transfer of data, to the different view objects to properly format the text elements (column 4, lines 15-44 of Prinzing). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the methods of Neibauer and Prinzing because it would have allowed the addition of new functionality without rewriting the entire editor.

#### 21. Regarding dependent claim 23,

- a highlight rendering services interface configured to transfer data between the editor and a designer to enable the designer to control which sections of the document are highlighted on the screen and the style in which the highlighting appears;
  - o Neibauer discloses a method in which an interface contains options to change (control) the color and shading of the highlighting (style) (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer). Neibauer does not disclose a method in which an extension of an extensible editor is used to perform this action. However, Prinzing discloses a development kit (designer) can be used to modularly apply style changes (highlights) received from an interface to a document in a text editor (column 3, lines 17-53 of Prinzing). The interface can determine and edit (control) styles of any text, so it is

Application/Control Number: 09/675,692

Art Unit: 2178

inherent that values based on the state of all text, including highlighted segments are communicated between the development kit and the text editor (column 3, lines 6-16 of Prinzing). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the methods of Neibauer and Prinzing because it would have allowed the addition of new functionality without rewriting the entire editor.

22. **Regarding dependent claims 24 and 26,** the claims are the medium correlated with storing the method of claims 2-7. Thus, the claims are rejected along the same rationale as claims 2-7

#### 23. Regarding dependent claim 25,

- method to redefine a highlight segment and a style in which the highlight segment is rendered;
  - Neibauer discloses a method in which the user may redefine the text contained within a highlight segment and the style of the highlight segment (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer).

## 24. Regarding dependent claim 27,

- a highlight segment interface configured to transfer data between the editor and a designer to enable the designer to provide type checking for document segments added or moved from highlighted sections of the document;
  - Neibauer discloses a method in which an interface to operate a function (extension) used to highlight sections of text (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer). Neibauer does not disclose a method in which a

designer interface is used to transfer data between designers and the editor or an event routing controller. However, Prinzing discloses a development kit can be used to modularly apply style changes (highlights) using view objects (designers) received from an interface to text elements (content) in a document in a text editor, allowing text elements to remain unchanged (column 3, lines 17-53 of Prinzing). Prinzing discloses a method in which a development kit routes the editing actions (events), which includes transfer of data, to the different view objects to properly format the text elements, when added formatting is removed it is returned to it's original formatting. (column 4, lines 15-44 of Prinzing). It would have been inherent that type checking occurs to make sure the text objects are still viewable by the original editor that created them. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the methods of Neibauer and Prinzing because it would have allowed the addition of new functionality without rewriting the entire editor.

Page 12

#### Conclusion

25. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent Number 5,276,795, issued January 4, 1994, to Hoeber et al.
US Patent Number 5,544,048, issued August 6, 1996, to Dugan et al.

Art Unit: 2178

US Patent Number 5,694,151, issued December 2, 1997, to Johnston, Jr. et al.

US Patent Number 5,802,534, issued September 1, 1998, to Hatayama et al. US Patent Number 5,930,813, issued July 27, 1999, to Padgett et al. US Patent Number 6,173,337, filed March 8, 1996, by Akhond et al. US Patent Number 6,240,430, filed December 13, 1996, by Deike et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua D Campbell whose telephone number is (703)305-5764. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 AM - 4:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on (703)308-5186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

idc

November 25, 2003

